



City of Belmont
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

14 OCTOBER 2002

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	OFFICIAL OPENING	1
2.	APOLOGIES & LEAVE OF ABSENCE	1
2.1	APOLOGIES	1
2.2	LEAVE OF ABSENCE	1
3.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	2
4.	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)	2
5.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	2
5.1	RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE AT PREVIOUS MEETING	3
5.1.1	Paul Hitt, Belmont Residents/Ratepayers Action Group Inc. c/- 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont	3
5.2	QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE AT A PREVIOUS MEETING	3
5.3	QUESTIONS ON WHICH WRITTEN NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN PRIOR TO THE MEETING	3
5.3.1	Mr Norm Arber, 6 Spencer Court, Redcliffe	3
5.3.2	Mr Rob Greenwood, 151 Coolgardie Avenue, Redcliffe	4
5.3.3	Mr Rob Greenwood, 151 Coolgardie Avenue, Redcliffe	6
5.3.4	Mr Kevin Bettridge, U3, 18 Mort Street, Rivervale	8
5.3.5	Mr Paul Hitt, 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont	10

14 OCTOBER 2002

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
5.4	QUESTIONS FROM THE FLOOR.....	11
5.4.1	Ms Brenda Whitely, 8 Gibbs Street, Rivervale	11
5.4.2	Mr Clive Coombs, 317 Wright Street, Cloverdale.....	11
5.4.3	Mr Kevin Bettridge, Unit 3, 18 Mort Street, Rivervale	11
5.4.4	Mr Roger Broinowski, 66 Armadale Road, Rivervale.....	11
5.4.5	Mr Paul Hitt, 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont	12
5.4.6	Mr Clive Coombs, 317 Wright Street, Cloverdale.....	12
5.4.7	Mr Kevin Bettridge, Unit 3, 18 Mort Street, Rivervale	12
5.4.8	Mr Paul Hitt, 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont	12
6.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	13
6.1	ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD 23 SEPTEMBER 2002	13
7.	QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS ON WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (WITHOUT DISCUSSION).....	13
8.	PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS	13
9.	BUSINESS ADJOURNED FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING.....	13
10.	CORRESPONDENCE.....	13
10.1	LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	13
10.1.1	Cr Godsell - Leave Of Absence.....	13
10.1.2	Cr Dornford - Leave Of Absence	14
10.2	GENERAL.....	15
10.3	LATE CORRESPONDENCE OF AN URGENT NATURE.....	15
11.	REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.....	15
11.1	WORKS AND TECHNICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 7 OCTOBER 2002	15
11.1.1	Withdrawn Item 8.2.1 – Parking at Copley Reserve	16
11.1.2	Withdrawn Item 8.4.4 – Public Payphone at Tomato Lake	16
11.1.3	Withdrawn Item 8.4.6 – Funding School Beautification Programme Redcliffe Primary School	17

14 OCTOBER 2002

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
11.2	COMMUNITY AND EXECUTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 8 OCTOBER 2002.....	17
11.2.1	Withdrawn Item 8.1.1 – Manager Administrative Services Monthly Report.....	18
11.2.2	Withdrawn Item 8.1.2 – Major Land Transaction Business Plans – Carlisle Depot Site and Fairbrother Street Works Depot Site.....	18
11.2.3	Withdrawn Item 8.4.1 – Review of Existing Policy – Keeping of More than Two Dogs.....	19
11.2.4	Withdrawn Item 8.5.4 – Re-Negotiation of Oasis Lease.....	20
12.	REPORTS OF OFFICERS.....	21
12.1	INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS.....	21
13.	REPORTS OF DELEGATES.....	22
13.1	CR GODFREY – LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION – EAST ZONE.....	22
13.2	CR GODFREY – GEAC USER FORUM.....	23
14.	URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION	23
14.1	BELMONT COMMUNITY RECREATION ASSOCIATION – COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATION.....	23
15.	MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING MAY BE CLOSED	24
16.	CLOSURE	24

**MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE CITY OF
BELMONT CIVIC CENTRE, 215 WRIGHT STREET, CLOVERDALE, ON MONDAY,
14 OCTOBER 2002, COMMENCING AT 7.35PM**

PRESENT

Cr P R Passeri, JP	West Ward
Cr M H Blair	Central Ward
Cr G Dornford (Until 9.20pm)	Central Ward
Cr M C Godsell	Central Ward
Cr G Doney	West Ward
Cr A T Richardson, JP	West Ward
Cr M M Bass	East Ward
Cr G J Godfrey	East Ward
Cr P Marks	East Ward
Cr E Teasdale	South Ward
Cr J Powell	South Ward

IN ATTENDANCE

Mr B Genoni	Chief Executive Officer
Mr N Hartley	Deputy Chief Executive Officer
Mr R Lutey	Director - Engineering
Mr N Deague	Director – Development
Mr S Cole	Director – Corporate Services

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

There were 21 members of the public and one member of the press (Southern Gazette) present.

1. OFFICIAL OPENING

The Mayor opened the meeting and welcomed those in attendance.

2. APOLOGIES & LEAVE OF ABSENCE

2.1 APOLOGIES

Nil.

2.2 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr A Murfin

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Dornford declared a financial interest. The interest is in Item 11.2 (Item 8.1.1 of the Community and Executive Services Committee meeting minutes of 8th October 2002). The nature of the interest being that Councillor Dornford is the lessee of the Child Care Centre.

The Deputy Chief Executive Officer declared a financial interest. The interest is in Item 11.2 (Item 8.5.2 of the Community and Executive Services Committee meeting minutes of 8th October 2002). The nature of the interest is that as the Local Government Managers Australia (WA)'s Conference Convenor, he is entitled to a complimentary room for the duration of the conference

Councillor Teasdale declared a financial interest. The interest is in Item 11.2 (Item 8.5.4 of the Community and Executive Services Committee meeting minutes of 8th October 2002). The nature of the interest being that Councillor Teasdale is a Director of Leisure Australia.

Councillor Dornford declared a financial interest. The interest is in Item 11.1 (Item 8.4.6 of the Planning and Development Committee meeting minutes of the 7th October 2002). The nature of the interest being that Councillor Dornford is the lessee of the Belmont Child Care Centre.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

The Mayor asked the gallery, Councillors and staff to observe a minute's silence on behalf of those killed and injured in the recent bomb blasts in Bali on the weekend.

5. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

*****7.37pm** For the benefit of the public present the Chief Executive Officer stated the rules of Question Time.

5.1 RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE AT PREVIOUS MEETING

5.1.1 Paul Hitt, Belmont Residents/Ratepayers Action Group Inc. c/- 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont

Mr Hitt asked the following question at the Council meeting held on 23 September 2002, which was taken on notice. Mr Hitt's question was responded to in correspondence dated 25 September 2002.

Due to a number of residents and ratepayers attending Council meetings in recent times, many residents are unable to follow the Council's agenda in hard copy due to a shortage of Council minutes. The provision of a copy to the Residents/Ratepayers Action Group Inc. would help alleviate in part, this problem.

Will Council reconsider its previous decision not to supply a hard copy of the minutes prior to Council meetings?

Further to the City's correspondence of 30th August, with the availability of the Council agendas on the City's web site, it is now possible for people to print off for themselves, either the entire agenda, or those parts that particularly relate to their interests. It is therefore not considered warranted to increase the standard number of agendas provided in the gallery. Copies can however be made available for anybody desiring one, at the cost of printing – which is \$26 (plus \$3 postage and handling).

5.2 QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE AT A PREVIOUS MEETING

Nil.

5.3 QUESTIONS ON WHICH WRITTEN NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN PRIOR TO THE MEETING

5.3.1 Mr Norm Arber, 6 Spencer Court, Redcliffe

For eight nights this past winter, Redcliffe was without street lighting.

1. Does Belmont City Council have to pay for electricity not used on these occasions or does it receive a discount for power not used?

The Mayor replied that the City pays an annual tariff based on the number of street lights throughout the City. This tariff pays for power consumption and bulk lamp replacement but does not provide for a discount for a percentage of lights not working.

Annual lighting inspections to be conducted by the City will help to identify whether or not there is an ongoing problem with a particular percentage of the lighting network not operating as expected.

Item 5.3.1 – Continued...

The City undertook a survey in July 2002 of the street lighting within Belmont, which indicated that at that particular time 224 (6.4%) of the 3,480 light units were found to be faulty.

2. If the Council has to pay regardless of whether the lights are on or not – WHY?

The Mayor replied that providing Western Power responds in an appropriate time frame to rectify any faults they would be fulfilling their contract. The standard Western Power contracts do not provide for discounts, however it is something which would be reviewed if contract performance was a continuing problem and could be quantified.

3. I would like to know when Redcliffe will have power put underground?

The Mayor stated that the City is waiting for the next round of submissions to be advertised for the State Underground Power Programme – when it is expected that a submission of interest will be made. The State Government has cut back on the funding for the Underground Power Programme, which means if the current level of funding was to continue it would take many years before the whole of the City of Belmont had underground power.

The most likely areas to be submitted under the next round of funding would be an extension of the Rivervale Underground Project and an area radiating out from the Western Power sub-station on the corner of Alexander Road and Belgravia Street. Any final decisions would be based on Western Power's assessment of their infrastructure.

4. What position is Redcliffe on the list of areas to have power underground?

The Mayor advised that the City does not have a list but relies to a large extent on community support and comments provided by Western Power about the condition of their infrastructure and their preference for undergrounding the various sections of the network.

5.3.2 Mr Rob Greenwood, 151 Coolgardie Avenue, Redcliffe

Thank you for your response to questions on the relocation of Council's Works Depot taken on notice at the 9th September 2002 Council meeting.

In your response you make the statement 'the relocation of the City's Operations Centre (i.e. Works Depot) was undertaken for many reasons, particularly because of the number of complaints about dust nuisance', and 'A municipal depot is not an appropriate or desirable use in the rezoned mixed use area'.

Item 5.3.2 – Continued...

1. Will the Residents and/or Business Operators of Carlisle (Town of Victoria Park) be any less likely to or less entitled to complain about a dust nuisance?

The Director – Engineering, Mr Ric Lutey advised that the new Operations Centre site is located an industrial area and required a dust management plan as a condition of development approval. This plan has been accepted by the Town of Victoria Park.

2. Surely the 'dust nuisance' will need to be managed properly wherever the works depot is situated, and may just as well have been managed at Fairbrother Street without the added ongoing costs to ratepayers associated with a relocation?

Mr Lutey replied that issues relating to dust could have been managed at the Fairbrother Street site, however as stated in the answers to your questions of 12 September, dust was only one of the reasons for relocating the Operations Centre.

3. Didn't Belmont Council consider the full costs of relocation before it made the decision to change the Fairbrother works depot zoning to 'mixed use'?

Mr Lutey stated that, having agreed on the need to relocate its Operations Centre, Council considered potentially available industrial sites within the City of Belmont and the only appropriate ones were at the Airport and at the Kewdale Marshalling Yards. Council is satisfied with the chosen site in Carlisle which is closer to Council's main areas of operations than either of these potential sites.

4. In view of the still ongoing investigation into the City of Belmont were the 'many reasons' for relocation referred to, all \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ dollars in someone's pocket?

Mr Lutey replied that the meaning of this question needs to be explained so that the City can consider the appropriate action to take in response.

5. From the answer given it would appear that an accurate assessment of likely increased vehicle running costs and staff travelling time was not made, but, were Councillors given such an assessment prior to them making the decisions to relocate the works depot?

Mr Lutey advised that in considering the relocation of the Operations Centre, Councillors were aware that the Carlisle site is more distant from some areas of the City than the old Fairbrother Street site but are satisfied that it is appropriate given all the circumstances.

Item 5.3.2 – Continued...

6. It would appear that using the mean distance from the works depot in Fairbrother Street as a radius for a circle from the proposed Depot in Carlisle, that the greater part of the City of Belmont would fall outside of that circle and therefore almost every trip made in the future will be considerably longer and more expensive in vehicle running, staff time and greenhouse gas costs. Will Council now prepare a proper accurate assessment of those additional costs?

Mr Lutey replied, as stated in the answer to an earlier question, the current depot site is no longer appropriate. The new site will result in reduced travel times compared to the potential alternatives at the Airport or in the Kewdale Marshalling Yards.

5.3.3 Mr Rob Greenwood, 151 Coolgardie Avenue, Redcliffe

1. How is the 400% increase in aircraft movements provided in the Draft WA Planning Commission (WAPC), 'Land Use Planning in the Vicinity of Perth Airport' going to benefit, or, adversely affect the Residents of Belmont?

Councillor Blair, Deputy Mayor and Council's representative on the Perth Airport Noise Management Committee, replied that the increase in aircraft movements projected in the Draft Statement of Planning Policy 'Landuse planning in the Vicinity of Perth Airport' has been based on the nominal capacity of the airport identified in the 1999 Airport Master Plan, being 350,000 movements per year. The objectives of that Policy are to:

- *Protect the airport from unreasonable encroachment by incompatible (noise sensitive) development, so as to provide for its on-going development and operation; and*
- *Minimise the impact of airport operations on existing and future communities with reference particularly to aircraft noise.*

The benefits and adverse effects of the increase in movements will depend on the effectiveness of that policy and other initiatives (such as quieter aircraft) in limiting impacts of the airport on existing communities.

2. How will Council assist Residents to counteract the projected fourfold increase in today's noise, fumes, sleep disruption, loss of amenity, fear of aircraft crashes into residences, etc as flights into and out of Perth Airport increase from an average 250 flights per day in 2002, to 1000 per day by 2047?

Councillor Blair advised that Council is looking at ways of minimising potential adverse impacts on residents through its active input in the Noise Management Strategy Committee, the Perth Airports Municipalities Group (including continuing to advocate the construction of the wide spaced parallel runway to reduce aircraft movements near residential areas) and the Australian Mayoral Aviation Council (which is always pursuing the introduction of quieter aircraft).

Item 5.3.3 – Continued...

3. The increased danger of aircraft accident due to Perth Airport's proximity to the Darling Scarp and associated Wind Shear is well documented and one of the important reasons for the promised relocation of Perth Airport by 2015. What has Council done to achieve that promised relocation?

Councillor Blair replied that no relocation is proposed for Perth Airport. However, under the Westralia Airports Vision 2018 Plan, consolidation of the domestic and international airport terminals at the international terminal is anticipated. It is understood that current lease arrangements between certain airlines and the Airport operators are delaying an earlier consolidation date. Council both directly and indirectly through the PAMG has been encouraging those airlines to consider relocating to the international terminal at an earlier date.

4. The events of September 11 are a graphic reminder of how lethal Commercial Passenger Aircraft can be when crashed in densely populated areas. Will it take a major aircraft accident in metropolitan Perth for Federal, State and Local Government to give the relocation of Perth Airport the high priority it deserves?

Councillor Blair replied that the area of risk assessment in regard to aircraft and airports is handled by CASA and Airservices Australia.

5. Is Council aware that in the Draft 'Statement of Planning Policy for Land Use Planning in the Vicinity of Perth Airport', the WAPC is placing the cost burden of building and window design, sound installation, air conditioning, etc, on the owners of houses and builds situated within the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) footprint?

Councillor Blair advised that under the Draft Statement of Planning Policy various measures are applied within various ANEF brackets. In areas above 25ANEF the draft Policy recommends that noise insulation be required as a condition of planning approval, for all development involving building types identified as 'unacceptable' and 'conditionally acceptable' under the Australian Standard. Notwithstanding that minor additions to existing residential development involving no more than two habitable rooms and no more than 25% increase in habitable floorspace are exempted from the requirement for noise insulation. As such, existing homeowners are unlikely to be impacted upon unless contemplating construction of a new residence or major additions/alterations.

Item 5.3.3 – Continued...

6. Is it fair and reasonable for house/building owners within the ANEF footprint (which extends from West Swann to Cannington, from Midland to Kenwick and from Hovea to Rivervale and includes all suburbs in between), to pick up those additional costs generated solely by the expansion of operations at Perth Airport, while at the same time residents suffer loss of amenity and loss of property value through proposed zoning restrictions solely brought about by the operations of Perth Airport?

Councillor Blair replied that the draft Policy is designed to ensure that existing and future residents do not suffer a loss of amenity or property value. Costs would only be attracted if contemplating construction of a new residence or major additions/alterations.

7. Will Council now make suitable submissions to the WA Planning Commission to effect changes to the Draft Plan that defend and protect the rights of those hundreds of thousands of residents presently living and working in areas within the Perth Airport ANEF footprint?

Councillor Blair advised that it is intended that Council will be considering the draft Policy at its meeting of the 25 November 2002 and making a detailed submission on the proposals contained therein.

8. In the event that individual House / Building Owner's rights are not protected by an amended WAPC Land Use Plan for Land in the Vicinity of Perth Airport, we ask the City to consider funding a class action against the Westralia Airports Corporation for costs involved in compliance with the Plan and/or compensation for loss of amenity and/or property values suffered by residents.

Councillor Blair replied that Council will be considering whether the Draft Statement of Planning Policy adequately protects the rights of existing and future residents at its meeting of 25 November 2002. It would be counterproductive to pre-empt the outcomes of that discussion.

5.3.4 Mr Kevin Bettridge, U3, 18 Mort Street, Rivervale

In respect to Tender 18/2002, works to be carried out (\$122,000) at Adachi Park;

- (a) Why was it necessary for outside Tenders when Belmont Council has its own Gardens Department capable of carrying out this work? There is nothing complicated about the work.

Works and Technical Services Committee Presiding Person, Cr Godsell advised that with its continuing expanding infrastructure, Council is required to utilise both its quality staff and contractors to ensure the City's assets are developed and maintained to a high standard. As Council staff were fully engaged with other works, the construction of Adachi-ku Park was put out to tender.

Item 5.3.4 – Continued...

- (b) Has the City of Belmont no confidence in the skills and knowledge of its well remunerated Officers and staff of its “Parks and Gardens Department” and “Engineering Department” to carry out the landscaping required?

Councillor Godsell stated that this question was answered at 1(a) above.

- (c) Why are ratepayers footing the expenses of sending Officers, staff and Councillors to all types of Conferences quote, “to achieve the maximum development of their capabilities to meet Council’s requirements”, when simple park developments are tendered out? For example: the Manager of Parks and Gardens and Cr Teasdale just attended the 5th National Conference for Parks and Leisure in Melbourne 15 – 18 September.

Cr Godsell advised that Conferences ensure Councillors and staff are kept abreast of current and future trends and information within the industry. The matter of whether specific works are undertaken by staff or contractors is answered at 1(a) above.

- (d) Was the expense necessary of employing Hames Sharley (Architects) as “consultants” to “review” the three Tenders submitted when Belmont Council employs well remunerated Officers and personnel in “Planning”, “Engineering” and “Parks and Gardens” for such matters?

Cr Godsell advised that reviewing of tenders was part of Hames Sharley’s design brief for this project.

- (e) If the Officers and staff of the Belmont Council are not capable of carrying out simple tasks such as excavation work, removing some shrubs, spreading mulch, installing stepping stones, and plant all plant stock, then what are they doing, and do we need them at all?

Cr Godsell replied that this question was answered at 1(a) above.

2. There are no figures as to what the City of Belmont is paying to the Eastern Metropolitan Council (EMRC) in the Budget. What are the individual sums, for what items, are being paid to the EMRC in this financial year?

Resources and Policy Committee Presiding Person, Cr Godfrey advised that the City of Belmont’s budget is not constructed on the basis of payments to particular creditors. The budget is constructed on a cost centre basis. Allocations are made for items of income and expenditure and various suppliers may be paid from a particular line item.

Item 5.3.4 – Continued...

We can however extract details of particular creditors for the previous financial year and analyse what cost centres they relate to. For the financial year ended 30-6-02 the following payments were made to the EMRC.

<i>Rubbish Disposal</i>	644,211.75
<i>Risk Management Services</i>	37,109.91
<i>Environmental Services</i>	2,459.60
<i>Contribution to LGA Zone Meeting</i>	1,186.66
<i>Cities for Climate Protection Project</i>	5,500.00
<i>Regional Environmental Project</i>	2,750.00
<i>Regional Tourism Strategy and Events</i>	14,775.20
<i>Recreation, Advice, Promotion and</i>	
<i>Integration for people with Disabilities</i>	34,391.50
<i>Business Development Project</i>	1,433.67

	\$743,818.29

It is likely that similar levels of expenditure may take place in the current 2002-2003 financial year, particularly for rubbish disposal.

5.3.5 Mr Paul Hitt, 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont

1. Is Council aware of the Cape Lilac trees that stand adjacent to the Child Care facility leased from the Council and in close proximity to Belmay Primary School?

The Mayor advised that in order research this matter, he would need to take this question on notice.

2. Due to the poisonous nature of Cape Lilac tree berries and the close proximity to young children attending both facilities, will Council consider the replacement of the Cape Lilac trees with trees that present less risk to young children?

The Mayor advised that in order research this matter, he would need to take this question on notice.

3. How many Councillors have read the Western Australian Planning Commission's Draft Statement of Planning Policy, "For Stakeholder Consultation", Land Use in the Vicinity of Perth Airport, September 2002?

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council has received the report and it will be referred to in the November meeting of the Planning and Development Committee. Councillors will be supplied with copies of this report in order that they can deliberate on its content.

5.4 QUESTIONS FROM THE FLOOR

5.4.1 Ms Brenda Whitely, 8 Gibbs Street, Rivervale

1. What is Council's policy on long grass on verges?

The Director – Engineering advised that if Council's notice is drawn to an area requiring attention it will correspond with the adjoining owner, asking them to remove the long grass. Council will in some cases, where a dangerous situation arises, cut long verge grass.

2. Will Council ask the shopping centre trolley contractors to be more active in removing trolleys from the more 'distant locations' of the Centre?

The Mayor advised that Mrs Whitely should provide the details of the location of any abandoned trolleys to both the Shopping Centre and the City, whereupon action can be taken.

5.4.2 Mr Clive Coombs, 317 Wright Street, Cloverdale

Will Council consider not reading the rules prior to each Question Time?

The Mayor advised that he required the rules to be read prior to each Question Time session so that new gallery attendees are made aware of them.

5.4.3 Mr Kevin Bettridge, Unit 3, 18 Mort Street, Rivervale

In regard to the Eastern Regional Metropolitan Council's (EMRC) lift-out recently inserted in the West Australian and Councillor Passeri's (Chairman of the EMRC) report in that lift-out, the comments made do not relate to Belmont.

The Mayor advised that as the EMRC Chairman, his comments were on behalf of all EMRC Councils.

5.4.4 Mr Roger Broinowski, 66 Armadale Road, Rivervale

Will Council increase the security patrol hours to assist in alleviating increasing criminal activity in the area?

The Mayor advised that the security patrols can be directed to areas of need within the City and to concentrate on those areas as long as the need is required.

Item 5.4 – Continued...

5.4.5 Mr Paul Hitt, 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont

In regard to Item 8.5.4 in the Community and Executive Services Committee agenda, why was the Oasis lease not tendered?

The Deputy Chief Executive Officer advised that the reasoning for this is outlined in the item referred to and essentially is that it is believed that the negotiated lease is as good as, if not better, than would be achieved via a tender.

*****8.10pm The Mayor advised that the time allocated for Question Time had elapsed.**

TEASDALE MOVED, POWELL SECONDED, that Question Time be extended by a further 10 minutes.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

5.4.6 Mr Clive Coombs, 317 Wright Street, Cloverdale

Will Council undertake to consider answers to questions so that the gallery can understand how to express themselves properly?

The Mayor advised that the Local Government Act and the Rules for Question Time adequately provide for the methodology of Question Time.

5.4.7 Mr Kevin Bettridge, Unit 3, 18 Mort Street, Rivervale

Why has the new lease for the Oasis Leisure Centre forgiven the balance of the loan previously raised (and linked to the 'lease' payments of the previous lease)?

The Mayor advised that this matter will be dealt with later on this evening.

5.4.8 Mr Paul Hitt, 14 McLachlan Way, Belmont

Did Main Roads WA advise Council of asbestos contamination found near Great Eastern Highway and Riversdale Road, along the railway embankments?

The Mayor advised, not that he was aware of.

*****8.17pm There being no further questions the Mayor declared Question Time closed.**

6. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

6.1 ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD 23 SEPTEMBER 2002

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 23 September 2002, as printed and circulated to all Councillors, be confirmed as a true and accurate record.

RICHARDSON MOVED. GODSELL SECONDED, that the minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 23 September 2002, as printed and circulated to all Councillors, be confirmed as a true and accurate record.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

7. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS ON WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

Nil.

8. PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

Nil.

9. BUSINESS ADJOURNED FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING

Nil.

10. CORRESPONDENCE

10.1 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

10.1.1 Cr Godsell - Leave Of Absence

Report by Chief Executive Officer

APPLICATION

To advise Council of correspondence received from Councillor Godsell requesting leave of absence from 27th October to 3rd November 2002, inclusive.

Item 10.1.1 – Continued...

BACKGROUND

Councillor Godsell has requested leave of absence from all Council and Committee meetings for the period 27th October to 3rd November 2002, inclusive.

COMMENT

Nil.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Councillor Godsell be granted leave of absence from all Council and Committee meetings for the period 27th October to 3rd November 2002, inclusive.

BLAIR MOVED, RICHARDSON SECONDED, that Councillor Godsell be granted leave of absence from all Council and Committee meetings for the period 27th October to 3rd November 2002, inclusive.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

10.1.2 Cr Dornford - Leave Of Absence

Report by Chief Executive Officer.

APPLICATION

To advise Council of correspondence received from Councillor Dornford requesting leave of absence from 20th October to 30th November 2002, inclusive.

BACKGROUND

Councillor Dornford has requested leave of absence from all Council and Committee meetings for the period 20th October to 30th November 2002, inclusive.

COMMENT

Nil.

Item 10.1.2 – Continued...

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Councillor Dornford be granted leave of absence from all Council and Committee meetings for the period 20th October to 30th November 2002, inclusive.

BASS MOVED. TEASDALE SECONDED, that Councillor Dornford be granted leave of absence from all Council and Committee meetings for the period 20th October to 30th November 2002, inclusive.

CARRIED 9 VOTES TO 2

*For: Richardson, Doney, Teasdale
Blair, Powell, Godfrey
Marks, Bass, Dornford*

Against: Godsell, Passeri

10.2 GENERAL

Nil.

10.3 LATE CORRESPONDENCE OF AN URGENT NATURE

Nil.

11. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

11.1 WORKS AND TECHNICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 7 OCTOBER 2002

Receipt of Minutes

BASS MOVED. GODSELL SECONDED, that the minutes of the Works and Technical Services Committee meeting held on 7 October 2002, as printed and circulated to all Councillors, be received.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

WITHDRAWN ITEMS

Item 8.2.1 was withdrawn at the request of Councillor Dornford.

Item 8.4.4 was withdrawn at the request of Councillor Godsell.

Item 8.4.6 was withdrawn at the request of Councillor Blair.

Item 11.1 – Continued...

ADOPTION OF THE BALANCE OF THE REPORT

GODSELL MOVED, BLAIR SECONDED, that recommendation items 8.1.1, 8.2.2 – 8.2.4, 8.4.1 – 8.4.3, 8.4.5, 8.5.1 and 8.5.2 of the Works and Technical Services Committee meeting held on 7 October 2002, be adopted en bloc (i.e. with the exception of items 8.2.1, 8.4.4 and 8.4.6).

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

11.1.1 Withdrawn Item 8.2.1 – Parking at Copley Reserve

DORNFORD MOVED, GODSELL SECONDED, that that the Committee Recommendation be adopted without amendment or modification.

CARRIED 10 VOTES TO 1

*For: Richardson, Doney, Teasdale
Blair, Powell, Godfrey
Marks, Bass, Godsell, Passeri*

Against: Dornford

11.1.2 Withdrawn Item 8.4.4 – Public Payphone at Tomato Lake

GODSELL MOVED, RICHARDSON SECONDED, that the Committee Recommendation not be adopted and that the matter be referred back to the Works and Technical Services Committee in order that a member from the Belmont Safer WA Committee can be invited to participate in discussions on whether to locate a payphone at Tomato Lake.

CARRIED 9 VOTES TO 2

*For: Richardson, Doney,
Blair, Godfrey, Dornford
Marks, Bass, Godsell, Passeri*

Against: Teasdale, Powell

*****8.37pm** Having earlier declared an interest in the following item, Councillor Dornford departed the Chamber.

Item 11.1 – Continued...

**11.1.3 Withdrawn Item 8.4.6 – Funding School Beautification Programme
Redcliffe Primary School**

**BLAIR MOVED, GODFREY SECONDED, that that the Committee
Recommendation be adopted without amendment or modification.**

CARRIED 10 VOTES TO 0

*****8.38pm Councillor Dornford returned to the Chamber.**

**11.2 COMMUNITY AND EXECUTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
HELD 8 OCTOBER 2002**

Receipt of Minutes

**DONEY MOVED, POWELL SECONDED, that the minutes of the Community and
Executive Services Committee meeting held on 8 October 2002, as printed and
circulated to all Councillors, be received.**

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

WITHDRAWN ITEMS

Items 8.1.1 and 8.5.4 were withdrawn at the request of Councillor Doney.
Item 8.1.2 was withdrawn at the request of Councillor Bass.
Item 8.4.1 was withdrawn at the request of Councillor Marks.

ADOPTION OF THE BALANCE OF THE REPORT

**DONEY MOVED, GODFREY SECONDED, that recommendation items 8.1.3, 8.2.1,
8.3.1, 8.4.2, 8.5.1 – 8.5.3 of the Community and Executive Services Committee
meeting held on 8 October 2002, be adopted en bloc (i.e. with the exception of
items 8.1.1, 8.1.2, 8.4.1 and 8.5.4).**

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

Item 11.2 – Continued...

*****8.39pm** Having earlier declared an interest in the following item, Councillor Dornford departed the Chamber.

11.2.1 Withdrawn Item 8.1.1 – Manager Administrative Services Monthly Report

DONEY MOVED, RICHARDSON SECONDED, that that the Committee Recommendation be adopted without amendment or modification.

CARRIED 10 VOTES TO 0

*****8.40pm** Councillor Dornford returned to the Chamber.

11.2.2 Withdrawn Item 8.1.2 – Major Land Transaction Business Plans – Carlisle Depot Site and Fairbrother Street Works Depot Site

BASS MOVED, DORNFORD SECONDED, that on the basis that the proposal is not the best available for the City, the Committee recommendation not be adopted and that –

- 1. Council seek alternative plans for sale of land at the Depot site, 500 Fairbrother Street, Belmont.**
- 2. Land at Carlisle Depot site remain as part of the Depot site.**

LOST 2 VOTES TO 9

For: Bass, Dornford

*Against: Richardson, Doney, Blair
Godfrey, Marks, Godsell, Passeri
Teasdale, Powell*

DONEY MOVED, TEASDALE SECONDED, that that the Committee Recommendation be adopted without amendment or modification.

CARRIED (ABSOLUTE MAJORITY) 9 VOTES TO 2

*For: Richardson, Doney,
Blair, Godfrey, Marks, Godsell
Passeri Teasdale, Powell*

Against: Bass, Dornford

Item 11.2 – Continued...

**11.2.3 Withdrawn Item 8.4.1 – Review of Existing Policy
– Keeping of More than Two Dogs**

MARKS MOVED. POWELL SECONDED, that on the basis of a change in the square metre allocation will better suit existing/proposed residential zonings in Belmont, the Committee recommendation not be adopted and that –

“Council change the policy “C9.1.2 – Dogs – Keeping of More Than Two” to replace minimum of 500sqm with 450sqm and that the application submitted by Mr Paul Hewer and Mrs Andrea Rochow-Hewer for the keeping of three dogs at 12 Mozart Mews, Rivervale, not be approved.”

Councillor Bass foreshadowed a motion if the above motion is lost, namely:

- “1. That the type of dog kept on property is to be of the smaller breeds, e.g. Jack Russells or smaller.***
- 2. That Council reinforce the relevance and appropriateness of the existing Policy “C9.1.2 – Dogs – Keeping of More Than Two” and that the application submitted by Mr Paul Hewer and Mrs Andrea Rochow-Hewer for the keeping of three (3) dogs at 12 Mozart Mews, Rivervale not be approved.”***

Councillor Bass withdrew the foreshadowed motion.

The substantive motion was put and ...

LOST 2 VOTES TO 9

For: Marks, Powell

*Against: Richardson, Doney, Blair
Godfrey, Godsell, Passeri
Teasdale, Bass, Domford*

Item 11.2.3 – Continued...

DONEY MOVED, POWELL SECONDED, that the Committee recommendation not be adopted and to allow further consideration of the Policy –

1. ***the application submitted by Mr Paul Hewer and Mrs Andrea Rochow-Hewer for the keeping of three (3) dogs at 12 Mozart Mews, Rivervale not be approved.***
2. ***the Policy be referred to the next meeting of the Resources and Policy Committee in order that the matter of the ‘area’ below which three dogs will not be permitted can be reconsidered.***

CARRIED 10 VOTES TO 1

*For: Marks, Powell, Richardson
Doney, Blair, Godfrey, Godsell
Passeri, Bass, Domford*

Against: Teasdale

*****9.05pm** Having earlier declared an interest in the following item, Councillor Teasdale departed the Chamber.

11.2.4 Withdrawn Item 8.5.4 – Re-Negotiation of Oasis Lease

DONEY MOVED, RICHARDSON SECONDED, that that the Committee Recommendation be adopted without amendment or modification.

Councillor Bass foreshadowed a motion if the above motion is lost, namely:

“That the Committee recommendation not be adopted and –

The lease amendments be postponed, and that officers present a report outlining all options for the running of the Belmont Oasis Leisure Centre.”

The substantive motion was put and...

CARRIED 7 VOTES TO 3

*For: Marks, Richardson, Doney,
Blair, Godfrey
Godsell, Passeri,*

Against: Bass, Domford, Powell

*****9.08pm** Councillor Teasdale returned to the Chamber.

12. REPORTS OF OFFICERS

12.1 INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS

Report by Chief Executive Officer

APPLICATION

Council's acknowledgment of receipt of information of general interest.

IS THIS PROPOSAL CONSISTENT WITH COUNCIL'S CORPORATE PLAN? YES
--

"Operational Plan O1/1(c) - To keep Councillors informed of relevant industry and officer activities, regular update reports be presented to the respective committees".
--

BACKGROUND

This item relates to information circulated by the Chief Executive Officer which may be of general interest to Councillors.

COMMENT

General information and memorandums:

DATED	SUBJECT
20 September 2002	Amendment No. 31 – Rezone Lot 1(163) Epsom Avenue from 'Service Station' to 'Residential R20/40'
27 September 2002	Local Government News: Issue 38.02 – 20 September 2002
27 September 2002	This Week at ALGA – 20 September 2002
27 September 2002	Speaker Notes from Local Government Week
27 September 2002	Local Government News – 25 September 2002
27 September 2002	Dogs – Jack Russells
27 September 2002	Letter of Thanks – Mrs Jan Teasdale
27 September 2002	Opening day 2002/2003 Little Athletic Season
04 October 2002	This Week at ALGA – 27 September 2002
04 October 2002	Local Government News: Issue 39.02 – 27 September 2002
04 October 2002	Development Potential Advice – Impact of New Design Codes
11 October 2002	This Week at ALGA – 4 October 2002
11 October 2002	WALGA Infopage – Law of Negligence – Proposals for Reform
11 October 2002	WALGA Infopage – Residential Design Codes
11 October 2002	Local Government News. Issue 40:02 – 4 October 2002
11 October 2002	Linking Councils and Communities
11 October 2002	Western Councillor – October 2002

Item 12.1 – Continued...

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the information provided to all Councillors and listed in this “Information Only Items” report be noted and received.

GODSELL MOVED, BLAIR SECONDED, that the information provided to all Councillors and listed in this “Information Only Items” report be noted and received.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

13. REPORTS OF DELEGATES

13.1 CR GODFREY – LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION – EAST ZONE

On 26 September 2002, Councillors Godfrey, Passeri and Blair attended the East Zone meeting of the Local Government Association.

Items of interest on the agenda which were relevant to the City of Belmont were:-

- A presentation by Mr Tim Doncon from the Disability Services Commission.
- A presentation from the Department of Land Administration regarding their new product called EAS (Electronic Advice of Sale).

The following recommendation was passed –

“That progress of the Association’s lobbying strategy on the exemption provisions in the State Records Act 2000 be noted.

That the commitment made by local government delegates at the World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg be endorsed.

That the progress report on the implementation of the Emergency Services Levy be noted and member councils be encouraged to provide comment to the Association on any issues.”

GODSELL MOVED, BLAIR SECONDED, that the report of Councillor Godfrey be noted and received.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

Item 13 – Continued...

13.2 CR GODFREY – GEAC USER FORUM

Unfortunately due to work commitments Councillor Godfrey will be unable to attend the GEAC User Forum to be held in Brisbane from the 20th to the 23rd October 2002.

Councillor Godfrey sends her apologies for not being able to attend and looks forward to reading the report on the latest information concerning the City of Belmont's software, particularly for our library and financial modules.

BLAIR MOVED, POWELL SECONDED, that Councillor Godfrey's apology be accepted.

CARRIED 11 VOTES TO 0

14. URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION

14.1 BELMONT COMMUNITY RECREATION ASSOCIATION – COUNCILLOR REPRESENTATION

The Mayor informed the meeting that Councillor Dornford advised at the recent Community and Executive Services Committee meeting, that he has a conflict of interest in serving on the Belmont Community Recreation Association board.

TEASDALE MOVED, RICHARDSON SECONDED, that Councillor Blair replace Councillor Dornford as Council's second Councillor representative for the BCRA and that Councillor Powell be the proxy member.

RICHARDSON MOVED, DONEY SECONDED, that the motion be put.

CARRIED 8 VOTES TO 3

*For: Marks, Richardson, Doney,
Blair, Godfrey
Godsell, Passeri, Bass*

Against: Dornford, Teasdale, Powell

The substantive motion was put and ...

CARRIED 9 VOTES TO 2

*For: Marks, Richardson, Doney,
Blair, Godfrey, Godsell,
Passeri, Teasdale, Powell*

Against: Bass, Dornford

15. MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

Nil.

*****9.20pm Councillor Dornford left the Chamber.**

16. CLOSURE

*****9.21pm There being no further business to discuss, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 9.21pm.**